

International Journal of AGRICULTURE AND BIOSCIENCES

www.ijagbio.com P-ISSN: 2305-6622

305-6622 E-ISSN: 2306-3599

editor@ijagbio.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Effects of Genotype on Internal and External Egg Quality Traits, Egg Proximate Composition and Lipid Profile Characteristics of Three Strains of Layer Turkeys

*CE Isidahomen¹, AA Njidda² and AA Adeniji³

¹Department of Animal Science, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria ²Department of Animal Science, Bayero University, P.M.B. 3011, Kano State, Nigeria ³Department of Animal Science, University of Abuja, P.M.B. 117, Abuja, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Received:January 12, 2014Revised:February 19, 2014Accepted:March 20, 2014	This study was conducted to determine the influence of egg genotype on internal and external egg quality, proximate composition and lipid profile. Ninety (90) fresh eggs of the three genotype in exotic, crossbred and local strains of layers turkey were used. The genotype has significant effect ($P < 0.05$)
Key words: Crossbred Eggs quality Exotic Genotype Strains	situms of highes tankey were discu. The genstype has significant effect (1 (0.05)) on egg proximate composition and other egg traits. Egg quality trait in term of crude protein highly favored exotic compared to other. There's significant effect (P<0.05) on internal and external egg quality trait. The result also showed significant effect (P<0.05) on egg weight and egg production traits when compared between genotypes. The mean weights of exotic turkey eggs (76.10 g) was significantly (P<0.05) much heavier than the crossbred and local turkey eggs (65.85 g). The egg length and egg width followed the same pattern. The proportion of shell weight to the egg weight was higher in exotic turkey (7.40 g) than the local turkey (6.15 g). Similarly, the exotic turkey eggs had the highest values of internal and external egg traits. The effect of genotype on egg biochemical indices were significantly (P<0.05) different from each other. The highest value of the total cholesterol (TC) and Try glycerol (TG) were found among the local turkey eggs. The egg lipids for High density lipoproteins (45.80±4.28%) and low density lipoproteins (51.60±6.58%) were also significantly (P<0.05) different and higher in the local turkey eggs. The mean values of potassium, glucose, total protein, albumin, globulin, calcium and phosphorus of the genotype were also significantly (P<0.05) influenced. The egg minerals in relation to genotypes were also significantly (P<0.05)
*Corresponding Address: CE Isidahomen ebicley2k@yahoo.com	influenced, the values are suggestive of the existence of genetic variation. The present study therefore indicates that genotype, significantly affect egg weight and egg quality traits. The implication is that the egg quality traits are influenced by both genetic and non genetic factors.

Cite This Article as: Isidahomen CE, AA Njidda and AA Adeniji, 2014. The effects of genotype on internal and external egg quality traits, egg proximate composition and lipid profile characteristics of three Strains of layer turkeys. Inter J Agri Biosci, 3(2): 65-69. www.ijagbio.com

INTRODUCTION

In Nigeria, there are many varieties of poultry including Turkey. They are emerging as an important source of animal protein and provide essential substances as other meat but has comparatively low percentages of fat and high percentages of proteins (Nixey and Grey, 1985). The productivity and quality of the breeding egg has an overall effect for poultry flock and for economic breeding (Isidahomen *et al.*, 2011).Moreover, the external and internal quality traits are important in poultry breeding because of their influence on the yield on the

progeny generation. The egg production of local turkeys can rose up to 99 eggs per hen per year with improved feeding, housing and health care (Tadelle *et al.*, 2000). The relationship between weight, length and width of eggs has been reported by Danilov (2000) who also noted the proportion of yolk, albumin and shell that contribute to the egg weight increase with hen's age. Thus egg weight is one of the important phenotypic traits which influences egg quality and reproductive fitness of the chickens parent (Islam *et al.*, 2001; Farooq *et al.*, 2001).Egg quality is composed of those characteristics of an egg that affects its acceptability to consumers such as cleanliness, freshness, egg weight, shell quality, yolk index etc (Song et al., 2000).

Environment has been shown to influence productivity of farm animals particularly in the tropical environment where the weather affects deleteriously on the productive performance and well-being of all domestic animals (Ilori *et al.*, 2009).Productive adaptability itself is a phenomenon whereby an animal gives acceptable level of production in a stressed environment (Ibe,1990). Efforts to characterize the breeds of turkey which are local crossbred and exotic entails the assessment of the productive traits of the birds including the egg traits. These qualities of the turkey eggs can be influenced by many factors which may be genotype and environment (Isidahomen, *et al.*, 2011). Economically, important egg quality traits such as weight, size, yolk and albumin contents are quantitative traits with continuous variability.

The assessment of proximate composition of different strains of turkey has been given less attention. Hence, this study examines the quality characteristic of egg of three strains. This study, therefore, was conducted to evaluate the proximate composition, egg quality of different strains of local, crossbred and exotic turkey eggs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at the poultry unit in the teaching and research farm Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State. Ninety fresh eggs each from three strains namely: local, crossbred and exotic turkeys were used to obtain the eggs quality traits of these turkeys. These comprised 30 eggs from each genotype. The eggs collected were sorted and pedigreed along each sire line. All hens were wing tagged for proper identification and subjected to the same management practices throughout the experimental period. The birds were fed *ad libitum* with layer mash containing 16% Crude Protein, 2800kcal/kg Metabolisable Energy.

Data Collection

Data was collected on:

Body Weight: This was taken on individual bird from each female turkey with the aid of a scale balance in kg.

Egg Weight: This was taken on individual eggs from each layer with the aid of an electronic balance having sensitively of 0.01g.

Egg Length: A Venire caliper with an accuracy of 0.1mm was used to determine the egg length. It was taken as the longitudinal distance between the narrow and the broad ends.

Egg Width: It was measured to the nearest 0.1mm with venire caliper. The egg width was taken as the diameter of the widest cross-sectioned region.

Egg shell weight: This was taken on individual eggs from each layer with the aid of an electronic balance having sensitively of 0.01g.

Egg shell Thickness: It was measured to the nearest 0.1mm with micrometer screw gauge

Yolk weight: This was taken on individual eggs from each layer with the aid of an electronic balance having sensitively of 0.01g.

Yolk length: A Venire caliper with an accuracy of 0.1mm was used to determine the egg yolk length. It was taken as the longitudinal distance between ends.

Yolk height: It was measured to the nearest 0.1mm with venire caliper. The egg height was taken as the distance between the base and the height.

Proximate composition: The Proximate composition of eggs was determined according to the method of AOAC (1990).

Data Analysis

All data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance in a generalize linear model (GLM) of the Statistical Analysis System Institute (SAS, 1999), Significant differences were computed using New Duncan multiple range test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) to determine the significance of specific classes.

The data were analyzed using the model specified below: The model is stated thus:

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + G_i + \Sigma_{ij}$ where,

 Y_{ijk} = Dependent Variable

 μ = Overall mean

 G_i = Effect of the ith genotype on egg traits

 Σ_{ij} = Random Residual error

RESULTS

Least square means and standard error of proximate composition as affected by genotype are presented in Table 1. Genotype significantly affected (P<0.05) proximate composition. The crude protein value was highest in Exotic egg strain (22.03) while the least value was recorded in Local egg strain (19.45), the ash content was highest in the Local egg strain (9.52%) and lowest in the Exotic (3.79%). The Moisture content was highest in the Exotic egg strain (30.68%) and lowest in the Exotic egg strain (27.14%).

Least square means and standard error of egg weight as affected by genotype are as presented in Table 2. Egg weight were found to have significant (P<0.05) effect in this study. Exotic egg strain had the highest mean value (76.10) while the Local egg strain had the least (65.85). Egg length followed the same trend with egg weight (6.27), while Local egg strain had the least mean value of egg width (5.85). For albumin weight, yolk weight and yolk length and other parameters measured favor the exotic chicken when compared with their local and crossbred.

The least square means and standard error of means for egg lipids parameters for the three genetic group are the total cholesterol (TC) and Try glycerol (TG), High density lipoprotein (HDL), Low density lipoprotein(LDL), potassium (K), sodium (Na), glucose (G), total protein (TP), globulin (Glu), calcium (ca) and phosphorus (P) were found were significantly (P<0.05) affected by genotype, except albumin (Alb) of turkey eggs.

DISCUSSION

Crude protein in this study were found to have significant (P<0.05) effect in this study. Exotic genotype had the highest value while the local had the least value.

 Table 1: Least-squares means and standard error of means on turkey eggs proximate composition as affected by genotype

		10	71		
Parameters	Local	Exotic	Crossbred		
Either Extract (%)	33.77±0.09 ^b	36.79±0.82 ^a	34.54±0.01 ^b		
Crude Protein (%)	$19.45{\pm}0.00^{c}$	$22.03{\pm}~0.00^{\text{a}}$	20.70±0.01 ^b		
Ash (%)	9.52 ± 0.88^{a}	$3.79\pm0.40^{\circ}$	6.66 ± 0.13^{b}		
Nitrogen Free Extract (%)	6.98±0.19 ^c	12.06±0.09 ^a	9.11 ± 0.01^{b}		
Moisture (%)	30.68 ± 0.09^{a}	$27.14 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	28.99±0.01 ^b		
a,b,c means in the same	row with c	lifferent sup	erscripts are		
significantly different (P<0.05)					

Table 2: Least square means and standard error of means of weight, external and internal egg quality of turkey as affected by genotype

<u>8</u>			
Parameters	Local	Exotic	Crossbred
Egg weight(g)	65.85±0,87 ^c	76.10±1.71 ^a	70.98 ± 0.92^{b}
Egg length(cm)	5.85 ± 0.09^{b}	6.27 ± 0.16^{a}	6.09 ± 0.09^{ab}
Egg length (cm)	4.04 ± 0.05^{b}	4.32 ± 0.07^{a}	4.14 ± 0.06^{b}
Shell weight(g)	$6.20\pm0.09^{\circ}$	7.35 ± 0.10^{a}	6.55 ± 0.15^{b}
Shell thickness (%)	0.34 ± 0.00^{b}	0.36 ± 0.00^{a}	0.36 ± 0.00^{a}
Albumin weight (g)	43.70±0.47°	54.00±0.32 ^a	47.45±0.39 ^b
Yolk weight (g)	25.10 ± 0.16^{a}	24.45±0.34 ^a	23.15±1.13 ^a
Yolk length (cm)	$3.90\pm0.02^{\circ}$	4.32 ± 0.06^{a}	4.13±0.04 ^b
Yolk Height(MM)	0.82 ± 0.02^{b}	1.03 ± 0.02^{a}	1.01 ± 0.01^{a}
Means and in the	same row w	ith different	superscript are

significantly different (P<0.05)

 Table 3: Least-squares means and standard error of means on turkey eggs biochemical indices as affected by genotype

Parameters	Local	Exotic	Crossbred
Total Cholesterol	417.60±16.48	^a 226.60±0.96 ^c	321.80±8.40 ^b
(mg/dl)			
Try glycerol (mg/dl)	325.00 ± 6.06^{a}	262.60 ±1.51°	² 299.80±239 ^b
High Density	$45.80{\pm}4.28^{a}$	36.80±0.85 ^t	'41.00±1.79 ^{ab}
Lipoprotein (%)			
Low Density	51.60 ± 6.58^{a}	19.00±0.46 ^c	35.36±3.13 ^b
Lipoprotein (%)			
Potassium (mmol/l)	77.22±1.75 ^a	59.36±1.48°	69.72 ± 1.68^{b}
Sodium (mmol/l)	104.60±0.34 ^a	85.60±3.54 ^c	95.00 ± 1.97^{b}
Glucose (mg/dl)	350.80 ± 1.92^{a}	$326.2\pm2.78^{\circ}$	340.00±2.28 ^b
Total Protein (mg/dl)	3.76 ± 0.10^{a}	$3.00\pm0.00^{\circ}$	3.20 ± 0.05^{b}
Albumin (mg/100ml)	1.00 ± 0.00	1.00 ± 0.00	1.00 ± 0.00^{b}
Globulin (mg/100ml)	2.40 ± 0.11^{a}	2.00 ± 0.00^{b}	2.00 ± 0.00^{b}
Calcium (mg/dl)	$11.48\pm0.20^{\circ}$	13.40 ± 0.05^{a}	12.58 ± 0.14^{b}
Phosphorus (mg/dl)	25.46 ± 0.86^{a}	27.16±0.24 ^a	26.24±0.56 ^b
· 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.66	

a,b,c means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

This is in agreement with finding of (Faga et al., 1989; Isidahomen et al., 2009) who observed that the genotype significantly affected the chicken genotype irrespective of the size. Also the values fall within the range according Babangida et al. (2006). Moisture content favor the local turkey eggs showing the highest mean value and the least value was observed among the exotic. The reason could be their genetic makeup. However the value recorded were lower compared to the report of Olomu, (2003). Also the values fall within range according to Babangida et al. (2006) and Olomu, (2003). The values for ether extract was also highest in exotic genotype and the lowest was observed in local which may also due to their genetic makeup and which is in agreement with the report of Isidahomen et al. (2013). Exotic also recorded the lowest ash value while the highest value was recorded in local egg genotype. However, the value did not agree with the work of Babangida el al. (2006), but agree with the report of Isidahomen et al. (2013) who reported that the exotic

chicken eggs had the highest value and the least value was recorded in the Normal local chicken. This could be attributed to environmental and the analytical procedure involved. Exotic also recorded the lowest moisture value while the local had the highest value. However, the value

did not agree with the work of Babangida el al. (2006). The exotic turkey weight was much higher than the crossbred and local. This is basically due to the vast difference in size of this genotype (Isidahomen et al, 2011). The absolute weight of external and internal egg characteristic were significantly (P<0.05) higher in exotic and crossbred compared to local turkey. The differences were obviously due to much higher egg weight in the turkeys. The effect of genetic group on egg weight were significantly (P<0.05) different. Exotic turkey had higher egg weight than local turkey, since egg weight is highly heritable traits. The difference between the three might be expected. In general the egg weight of local turkey is low compared to exotic turkey. The reason for the higher weight might be due to the fact that it is an improved genotype (Sharma et al., 2006). Egg weight variations in different genetic groups were reported by many authors (Washburnn 1990; Padhi et al., 1998 and Chatterjee et al., 2007a). The effects of genetic group on egg length and egg width were also significantly (P<0.05) different from each other. The exotic turkey showed higher values in egg length and egg width than the local turkey. Shell weight was significantly (P<0.05) affected by genetic group. The egg shell weights were significantly higher in exotic turkey than the local turkey eggs shell (Padhi et al., 1998). Shell thickness varied significantly (P<0.05) between genetic group. The shells of exotic turkey eggs are thicker than the local counterpart. The mean shell thickness was better for their suitability (Parmer et al., 2006; Padhi et al., 1998; Wani et al., 2007 and Chatterjee et al., 2007b). The albumin weight differed significantly (P<0.05) between the genetic group. Exotic turkey eggs had better albumin weight than the local and this is in agreement with the report of (Parmer et al., 2006 and Chatterjee et al., 2007b). The reason for the higher weight might be due to the fact that it is an improved genotype. Yolk weight value were significantly (P<0.05) influenced by genotype. The yolk weights were significantly higher in local than exotic (Isidahomen et al., 2011). Parmer et al. (2006) also observed lower yolk weight index for kadknult birds. However, higher yolk length and yolk height and were also observed in this experiment. The values support the reports by Singh et al. (1993) and Sachdera et al. (2006).

The effect of genotype on egg biochemical were significantly (P<0.05) different from each other. The highest value of the total cholesterol (TC) and Try glycerol (TG) were found among the local turkey eggs. These can be attributed to the greater adaptation of the local turkey to the hot humid environment especially in terms of body temperature since total cholesterol play a major role in many biochemical processes, such as the composition of cell membrane and the synthesis of steroids hormones (Isidahomen, *et al.*, 2009).The lower of the cholesterol by fasting of broilers/poultry were previously reported (Faisal *et al* .,2008; El-sheikh *et al.*, 2004) which is mainly due to the enhancement of the catabolic reaction of lipids in the blood and a reduction in the cholesterol synthesis (Calabotta *et al.*, 1985).

The egg lipids for High density lipoproteins and low density lipoproteins were also significantly (P<0.05) different and higher in the local turkey eggs and lower in the exotic turkey eggs table 1.in birds, lipids, which are mainly represented by triglycerols, are synthesized primarily in hepatocytes and stored in adipocytes and growing oocytes, and are associated, in yolk deposition and embryo development (deAlvarenga et al., 2010). Lipoproteins are set of complex spherical particles formed from lipids and proteins. These particles circulate in the blood streams, transporting endogenous and exogenous lipids in the plasma (deAlvarenga et al., 2010) and Isidahomen, et al., 2009). Low density lipoproteins are even smaller, denser and more concentrated in cholesterol due to the removal of a large portion of the triacylglycerol. High density lipoproteins are the smallest lipoproteins (approximately 10 nm), comprised of about 57% proteins and with density of 1.210 g/ml (versus 1.0 mg/ml of water and less than 0.95g/ml chylomicrons). These lipoproteins remove free cholesterol from the circulation and conduct this compound towards excretion (deAlvarenga et al., 2010).

The mean values of potassium, glucose, total protein, albumin, globulin, calcium and phosphorus of the genetic group were also significantly (P<0.05) influenced. However ,the present results are inconsistent with the values of total protein, albumin, urea, cholesterol, given by Isidahome et al., 2009 who observed significant (P<0.05) genetic effect in their chicken study. Moreover, the local genotype had a significantly higher (P<0.05) mineral content and a lower 11.48±0.20 calcium values. The present findings are inconsistent i with the report of earlier workers (Isidahomen et al., 2009). Mineral parameters are important in the proper maintenance of the osmotic pressure between the circulating fluid and the fluid in the tissue space so that the exchange of materials between the blood and cells could be facilitated. They also contributed to the viscosity and maintenance of the normal blood pressure and PH. All the values obtained from the minerals were all within range as reported by (Isidahomen et al., 2009). The implication is that breeds/ strain may affect the electrolyte values. The significant genotypes biochemical values are suggestive of the existence of genetic variation. The higher values of calcium, phosphorus, urea and albumin in local than exotic might be attributed to various environmental factors associated with genes.

Conclusion

The present study therefore indicates that genotype, significantly affect egg weight and egg quality traits. The implication is that the egg quality traits are influenced by both genetic and non genetic factors. The crossbred eggs were very close to the exotic, suggesting that eggs quality traits of turkey could be improved by crossbreeding.

REFERENCES

- AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. 13th edition. Association of official Analytical Chemist. Washington DC, USA.
- Babangida S, CE Isidahomen and JU Igwebuike, 2006. A comparison of egg quality parameter of some poultry species in Maiduguri, Nigeria, J Res Agric, 3: 37-39.

- Chatterjee RN, RB Rai, A Kundu, S Senani and J Sundar 2007a. Egg quality traits in indigenous breeds of chicken of Andaman; India Vet J, 84: 206-208.
- Chatterjee RN, RB Rai, SC Pramanik, J Sundar, S Senani and A Kundu, 2007b. Comparative growth, production, egg and carcass traits of different cross of brow Nicobari with White Leghorn under intensive and extensive management systems in Andaman, India. Livestock Res Rur Dev, 19(12).
- Calabotta DFJA, PB Cherry, DE Siegel and DE Jones, 1985. Lipogenesis and lipolysis in feed and fasted chicks from high and low body weight lines. Poult Sci, 64: 700-704.
- Danilov RV, 2000. Effect of hens' age on quality of hatching eggs and embryonic development. Proceedings 21st Worlds poultry Congress. Montreal, Canada.
- de Alvarenga ES, CP de Oliveira and CR Bellato, 2010. An approach to understanding the deacetylation degree of chitosan. Carbohydrate Polymers, 80: 1155-1160.
- El-Sheikh AR, H Attia and H Selim, 2004. Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine, Shahwan Office, Zagazig, Egypt.
- Farooq M, MA Mian, M Ali, FR Durranim, A Asquar and AK Muqarrab, 2001. Egg traits of Fayoumi birds under subtropical conditions. Sarad J Agric, 17: 141-145.
- Fraga LM, M Valdivie, I Berrio and PC Pertez, 1989. Naked neck genes are useful in the tropics. Misset International Poultry. An international Magazine on Poultry, 5: 26-27.
- Gomez AK and AA Gomez, 1984. *Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research*. 2nded. John Willy and Sons, New York, USA. pp680.
- Ibe SN, 1993. Growth performance of normal, frizzle and naked neck chicken in tropical environment. Nig J Anim Prod, 20: 28-31.
- Ilori BM, SO Peters, DD Aruleba, K Akano, M Wheto, AI Iyanda, MO Ozoje and CE Isidahomen, 2009. Heat tolerance traits among pure and crossbred turkeys in southwest Nigeria. The 14th Annual Conference of the Animal Science Association of Nigeria. Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria. 14th-17th September, 2009. Pp 89-92.
- Islam MA, SM Bulbul, G Seeland and AB Islam 2001. Egg quality of different chickens' genotypes in summer and winter. Pak J Biol Sci, 4: 1411-1414.
- Isidahomen CE, BM Ilori, MO Ozoje, SO Omoikhoje, MA Adeleke and K Akano, 2009.Egg quality traits of indigenous and exotic chickens as influence by specific genes. The 14th Annual Conference of the Animal Science Association of Nigeria. Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria. 14th-17th September, 2009. pp: 62-65.
- Isidahomen CE, BM Ilori and CE Ekuagbere, 2011. A comparative evaluation of weight, internal and external quality of egg from local and exotic Turkey. The 16th Annual Conference of the Animal Science Association of Nigeria (ASAN). Kogi state University, Faculty of Agric Lecture Theatre, Kogi State, Nigeria. 12th-15th September, 2011. pp: 29-32.

- Isidahomen CE, AA Njidda and EA Olatunji, 2013. Egg Quality traits of Indigenous and Exotic Chickens AS Influenced by Specific Genes. J Biol Agric Healthcare, 3: 53-57.
- Nixey C and TC Grey, 1985. Recent Advances in Turkey Science. Poult Sci Symposium, 21: 231-233.
- Olomu JM, 2003. Poultry Production. A practice Approach. Jachem publication, Benin City Nigeria pp: 94-97.
- Padhi MK, RB Rai, S Senani and SK Saha, 1998. Assessment of egg quality characteristics in White leghorn Layers: India J Poult Sci, 33: 113-115.
- Parmar SNS, MS Thakur, SS Tomar and PVA Pillai, 2006. Evaluation of egg quality trait in indigenous Kadaknath breed of poultry; Livestock Res Rur Dev, 18(9). http://www.Irrd.org/Irrd18/9/parm18132.htm
- Sachdera AK, DGR Sharma and H Singh, 2006. Quality, composition and genetics of guinea fowl egg. Indian J Anim Breed Gen, 27: 36-41.
- SAS/STAT, 1999. SAS User's guide; statistic released version 8.0. Statistical analysis system institute Inc, Cary NC.
- Sharma RP, RN Chatterjee and M Niranjan, 2006. Poultry production under backyard systems: Improvement approaches. In: National symposium on conservation

and improvement of animal genetic resources under low input systems; Challenges and strategies, NBAGER, Karnal, pp: 72-77.

- Singh H, SK Pal, KL Raheja, 1993. Genetics and phenotypic parameters for egg production and egg quality traits in guinea fowl. Indian J Poult Sci, 28: 12-19.
- Song KT, SH Choi, HR Oh, 2000. A comparison of egg quality of pheasant, Chukar, Quail and Guinea fowl. Asian-Austr J Anim Sci, 13: 986-990.
- Tadelle D, Y Alemu and KJ Peters, 2000. Indigenous chickens in Ethiopia; genetic potentials and attempts at improvement. World Poult Sci J, 56: 45-54.
- Wani SA, AH Malik GA Bhat, AA Khan, M Salahuddin, MA Pal and AH Sofi, 2007. In: Seminar on backyard poultry farming for woman empowerment and nutritional security cum scientists- poultry Farmer Meet, Organized by Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar Washburn KW 1990. Genetic Variation in Egg Composition. In: poultry Breeding and
 - Genetics pp: 78-804. Crawford RD (Ed), Elsevier Science publisher, BV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.